



**Course:** 11389.1261: MAT.296.M102.FALL25.Calculus II  
**TA:** Bhargavi Parthasarathy \*  
**Response Rate:** 2/18 (11.11 %)

**1 - The TA was available for support outside the course meeting time.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		<div>4.50</div>			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Agree	(4)	1	50.00%					
Agree	(5)	1	50.00%					
Strongly Agree	(6)	0	0.00%					
				02550100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/18 (11.11%)				4.50		0.71		4.50

**2 - Course content was presented clearly.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		<div>5.00</div>			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Agree	(4)	1	50.00%					
Agree	(5)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Agree	(6)	1	50.00%					
				02550100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/18 (11.11%)				5.00		1.41		5.00

**3 - Summary and emphasis of important points in class was effective.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		<div>5.50</div>			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Agree	(4)	0	0.00%					
Agree	(5)	1	50.00%					
Strongly Agree	(6)	1	50.00%					
				02550100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/18 (11.11%)				5.50		0.71		5.50

**4 - Class time was effectively utilized.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		<div>4.50</div>			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	1	50.00%					
Somewhat Agree	(4)	0	0.00%					
Agree	(5)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Agree	(6)	1	50.00%					
				02550100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD		Median	
2/18 (11.11%)				4.50	2.12		4.50	



**Course:** 11389.1261: MAT.296.M102.FALL25.Calculus II  
**TA:** Bhargavi Parthasarathy \*  
**Response Rate:** 2/18 (11.11 %)

5 - Lectures kept me engaged in course topics.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Agree	(4)	0	0.00%					
Agree	(5)	1	50.00%					
Strongly Agree	(6)	1	50.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/18 (11.11%)				5.50		0.71		5.50

6 - Overall, how would you rate the effectiveness of this TA's teaching?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Adequate	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	1	50.00%					
Very Good	(4)	0	0.00%					
Excellent	(5)	1	50.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
2/18 (11.11%)				4.00		1.41		4.00

7 - Please leave any additional comments.

Response Rate		1/18 (5.56%)
• I felt class time was rushed as she was sometimes late		



**Course:** 11388.1261: MAT.296.M101.FALL25.Calculus II  
**TA:** Bhargavi Parthasarathy \*  
**Response Rate:** 4/16 (25.00 %)

**1 - The TA was available for support outside the course meeting time.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		<div>5.25</div>			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Agree	(4)	0	0.00%					
Agree	(5)	3	75.00%					
Strongly Agree	(6)	1	25.00%					
				02550100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
4/16 (25.00%)				5.25		0.50		5.00

**2 - Course content was presented clearly.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		<div>5.50</div>			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Agree	(4)	0	0.00%					
Agree	(5)	2	50.00%					
Strongly Agree	(6)	2	50.00%					
				02550100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
4/16 (25.00%)				5.50		0.58		5.50

**3 - Summary and emphasis of important points in class was effective.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		<div>5.50</div>			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Agree	(4)	0	0.00%					
Agree	(5)	2	50.00%					
Strongly Agree	(6)	2	50.00%					
				02550100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
4/16 (25.00%)				5.50		0.58		5.50

**4 - Class time was effectively utilized.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Agree	(4)	0	0.00%					
Agree	(5)	1	25.00%					
Strongly Agree	(6)	3	75.00%					
				02550100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
4/16 (25.00%)				5.75		0.50		6.00



**Course:** 11388.1261: MAT.296.M101.FALL25.Calculus II  
**TA:** Bhargavi Parthasarathy \*  
**Response Rate:** 4/16 (25.00 %)

5 - Lectures kept me engaged in course topics.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Agree	(4)	1	25.00%					
Agree	(5)	1	25.00%					
Strongly Agree	(6)	2	50.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
4/16 (25.00%)				5.25		0.96		5.50

6 - Overall, how would you rate the effectiveness of this TA's teaching?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Adequate	(2)	0	0.00%					
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very Good	(4)	1	25.00%					
Excellent	(5)	3	75.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
4/16 (25.00%)				4.75		0.50		5.00

7 - Please leave any additional comments.

Response Rate	0/16 (0%)
---------------	-----------



**Course:** 11538.1261: MAT.296.M006.FALL25.Calculus II  
**Instructor:** Kari Shaw  
**TA:** Bhargavi Parthasarathy \*  
**Response Rate:** 6/21 (28.57 %)

**1 - The TA was available for support outside the course meeting time.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		<div>5.00</div>				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%						
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%						
Somewhat Agree	(4)	3	50.00%						
Agree	(5)	0	0.00%						
Strongly Agree	(6)	3	50.00%						
				02550100	Question				
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median	
6/21 (28.57%)				5.00		1.10		5.00	

**2 - Course content was presented clearly.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		<div>4.50</div>			
Disagree	(2)	1	16.67%					
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Agree	(4)	2	33.33%					
Agree	(5)	1	16.67%					
Strongly Agree	(6)	2	33.33%					
				02550100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
6/21 (28.57%)				4.50		1.52		4.50

**3 - Summary and emphasis of important points in class was effective.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	1	16.67%					
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Agree	(4)	2	33.33%					
Agree	(5)	1	16.67%					
Strongly Agree	(6)	2	33.33%					
				02550100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
6/21 (28.57%)				4.50		1.52		4.50

**4 - Class time was effectively utilized.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		<div>5.00</div>				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%						
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%						
Somewhat Agree	(4)	2	33.33%						
Agree	(5)	2	33.33%						
Strongly Agree	(6)	2	33.33%						
				02550100	Question				
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median	
6/21 (28.57%)				5.00		0.89		5.00	



**Course:** 11538.1261: MAT.296.M006.FALL25.Calculus II  
**Instructor:** Kari Shaw  
**TA:** Bhargavi Parthasarathy \*  
**Response Rate:** 6/21 (28.57 %)

**5 - Lectures kept me engaged in course topics.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	1	16.67%					
Somewhat Agree	(4)	2	33.33%					
Agree	(5)	1	16.67%					
Strongly Agree	(6)	2	33.33%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>		<b>Median</b>
6/21 (28.57%)				4.67		1.21		4.50

**6 - Overall, how would you rate the effectiveness of this TA's teaching?**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%					
Adequate	(2)	1	16.67%					
Good	(3)	2	33.33%					
Very Good	(4)	1	16.67%					
Excellent	(5)	2	33.33%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>		<b>Median</b>
6/21 (28.57%)				3.67		1.21		3.50

**7 - Please leave any additional comments.**

Response Rate	4/21 (19.05%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Very helpful! The recitations were structured very well and significantly helped my understanding of course topics. Additionally, she was very good at reviewing topics in ways that were helpful for all students, not just the people asking questions.</li> <li>• I think that you were quite honestly a great TA.</li> <li>• I think that the TA would leave too little time for overall lectures so sometimes she would rush to teach the class how to solve a certain problem in order to make time for the quiz. However, other than that I think her teaching was good.</li> <li>• Sometimes I feel it would have been more effective going over material at the board instead of individually giving feedback given the constraints of time.</li> </ul>	



**Course:** 12056.1261: MAT.296.M005.FALL25.Calculus II  
**Instructor:** Yiming Zhao  
**TA:** Bhargavi Parthasarathy \*  
**Response Rate:** 7/25 (28.00 %)

**1 - The TA was available for support outside the course meeting time.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		<div>4.57</div>			
Disagree	(2)	1	14.29%					
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Agree	(4)	1	14.29%					
Agree	(5)	4	57.14%					
Strongly Agree	(6)	1	14.29%					
				02550100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
7/25 (28.00%)				4.57		1.27		5.00

**2 - Course content was presented clearly.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	14.29%		<div>4.71</div>				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%						
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%						
Somewhat Agree	(4)	1	14.29%						
Agree	(5)	2	28.57%						
Strongly Agree	(6)	3	42.86%						
				02550100	Question				
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median	
7/25 (28.00%)				4.71		1.80		5.00	

**3 - Summary and emphasis of important points in class was effective.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		<div>4.57</div>			
Disagree	(2)	1	14.29%					
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	1	14.29%					
Somewhat Agree	(4)	0	0.00%					
Agree	(5)	3	42.86%					
Strongly Agree	(6)	2	28.57%					
				02550100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
7/25 (28.00%)				4.57		1.51		5.00

**4 - Class time was effectively utilized.**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	1	14.29%					
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	2	28.57%					
Somewhat Agree	(4)	0	0.00%					
Agree	(5)	2	28.57%					
Strongly Agree	(6)	2	28.57%					
				02550100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
7/25 (28.00%)				4.29		1.60		5.00



**Course:** 12056.1261: MAT.296.M005.FALL25.Calculus II  
**Instructor:** Yiming Zhao  
**TA:** Bhargavi Parthasarathy \*  
**Response Rate:** 7/25 (28.00 %)

5 - Lectures kept me engaged in course topics.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	14.29%					
Disagree	(2)	1	14.29%					
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Agree	(4)	0	0.00%					
Agree	(5)	4	57.14%					
Strongly Agree	(6)	1	14.29%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
7/25 (28.00%)				4.14		1.86		5.00

6 - Overall, how would you rate the effectiveness of this TA's teaching?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	1	14.29%					
Adequate	(2)	1	14.29%					
Good	(3)	2	28.57%					
Very Good	(4)	1	14.29%					
Excellent	(5)	2	28.57%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
7/25 (28.00%)				3.29		1.50		3.00

7 - Please leave any additional comments.

Response Rate	2/25 (8%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Quizzes were too long and numerous, took away from learning time, didn't really give us time to learn effectively and hampered the TA's ability to help us.</li><li>• She handles the explanations well</li></ul>	