

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY

Department: Mathematics

Term: Spring 2020

Form: Mathematics Department Student Rating

Instructor: Parthasarathy,Bhargavi

Class: MAT284 Section M202 (Business Calculus)

Responses: 8 Enrollment: 20 Response Rate: 40.0%

	1 Excellent		2 Good		3 Adequate		4 Poor		5 Terrible		This Class Summary Stats			Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD
1. Rate the textbook.	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	5	62.5%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	8	3.13	0.64	184	2.72	1.17

	1 Always		2 Often		3 Sometimes		4 Rarely		5 Never		This Class Summary Stats			Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD
2. Has the instructor been available for help outside the class?	4	50.0%	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	1.75	0.89	187	1.80	0.88
3. Did the instructor present the material in a clear and logical manner?	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	8	2.13	1.13	185	1.86	0.95

	1 Excellent		2 Good		3 Adequate		4 Poor		5 Terrible		This Class Summary Stats			Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD
4. Rate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral communication.	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	8	2.25	1.28	187	1.88	1.03
5. Rate the effectiveness of the instructor's written communication.	3	42.9%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	1.86	1.07	186	1.82	0.94

	1 Always		2 Often		3 Sometimes		4 Rarely		5 Never		This Class Summary Stats			Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD
6. Were the assignments a worthwhile aid to learning the course material?	3	37.5%	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	1.88	0.83	186	1.84	0.92
7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems?	4	50.0%	3	37.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	1.63	0.74	187	1.78	0.89
8. Was the instructor well prepared for class?	4	50.0%	3	37.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	1.63	0.74	185	1.66	0.87
9. Did the instructor convey interest and enthusiasm for the subject?	3	37.5%	4	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	8	1.88	0.99	186	1.84	0.94
10. Were the exams and the grading fair?	3	37.5%	5	62.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	1.63	0.52	184	1.76	0.92

	1 Excellent		2 Good		3 Adequate		4 Poor		5 Terrible		This Class Summary Stats			Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD
11. Overall, how would you rate the instructor?	2	25.0%	4	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	8	2.25	1.16	185	1.87	0.92

	1 A		2 B		3 C		4 D		5 F		N
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
12. What grade do you expect to get in this course?	6	75.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY
Department: Mathematics
Term: Spring 2020
Form: Mathematics Department Student Rating
Instructor: Parthasarathy, Bhargavi
Class: MAT284 Section M202 (Business Calculus)
Responses: 8 Enrollment: 20 Response Rate: 40.0%

Written Comments

- Bhargavi was always available and could answer any question I presented! Great TA!
- Fine class, sometimes goes too fast and can be a little unwelcoming to simple questions
- In a class where we were graded mainly on our quiz scores, we often had 5 or less minutes to complete quizzes which made doing well very difficult
- She was very well prepared for class. Asked us to email her questions so that she would be well prepared to answer them in class.

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY

Department: Mathematics

Term: Spring 2020

Form: Mathematics Department Student Rating

Instructor: Parthasarathy,Bhargavi

Class: MAT284 Section M207 (Business Calculus)

Responses: 9 Enrollment: 23 Response Rate: 39.1%

	1 Excellent		2 Good		3 Adequate		4 Poor		5 Terrible		This Class Summary Stats			Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD
1. Rate the textbook.	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	3	33.3%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	9	2.44	1.24	184	2.72	1.17

	1 Always		2 Often		3 Sometimes		4 Rarely		5 Never		This Class Summary Stats			Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD
2. Has the instructor been available for help outside the class?	5	55.6%	2	22.2%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	1.67	0.87	187	1.80	0.88
3. Did the instructor present the material in a clear and logical manner?	4	44.4%	2	22.2%	3	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	1.89	0.93	185	1.86	0.95

	1 Excellent		2 Good		3 Adequate		4 Poor		5 Terrible		This Class Summary Stats			Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD
4. Rate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral communication.	3	33.3%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	9	2.22	1.20	187	1.88	1.03
5. Rate the effectiveness of the instructor's written communication.	4	44.4%	2	22.2%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	9	2.00	1.12	186	1.82	0.94

	1 Always		2 Often		3 Sometimes		4 Rarely		5 Never		This Class Summary Stats			Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD
6. Were the assignments a worthwhile aid to learning the course material?	5	55.6%	2	22.2%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	1.67	0.87	186	1.84	0.92
7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems?	5	55.6%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	1.56	0.73	187	1.78	0.89
8. Was the instructor well prepared for class?	6	66.7%	3	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	1.33	0.50	185	1.66	0.87
9. Did the instructor convey interest and enthusiasm for the subject?	4	44.4%	4	44.4%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	9	1.78	0.97	186	1.84	0.94
10. Were the exams and the grading fair?	5	55.6%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	9	1.78	1.09	184	1.76	0.92

	1 Excellent		2 Good		3 Adequate		4 Poor		5 Terrible		This Class Summary Stats			Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD
11. Overall, how would you rate the instructor?	2	22.2%	5	55.6%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	2.00	0.71	185	1.87	0.92

	1 A		2 B		3 C		4 D		5 F		N
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
12. What grade do you expect to get in this course?	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY

Department: Mathematics

Term: Spring 2020

Form: Mathematics Department Student Rating

Instructor: Parthasarathy, Bhargavi

Class: MAT284 Section M207 (Business Calculus)

Responses: 9 Enrollment: 23 Response Rate: 39.1%

Written Comments

- I really liked going to Bhargavi's office hours. I think sometimes she has the ability to get a little snarky when you email her about something... but she end up being helpful anyways. She's not one of my favorite TAs but I did learn from her this semester so I thank her for that!
- no complaints
- None
- Overall, she was a good professor.

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY

Department: Mathematics

Term: Spring 2020

Form: Mathematics Department Student Rating

Instructor: Parthasarathy,Bhargavi

Class: MAT284 Section M407 (Business Calculus)

Responses: 13 Enrollment: 23 Response Rate: 56.5%

	1 Excellent		2 Good		3 Adequate		4 Poor		5 Terrible		This Class Summary Stats			Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD
1. Rate the textbook.	1	7.7%	2	15.4%	7	53.8%	3	23.1%	0	0.0%	13	2.92	0.86	184	2.72	1.17

	1 Always		2 Often		3 Sometimes		4 Rarely		5 Never		This Class Summary Stats			Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD
2. Has the instructor been available for help outside the class?	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	1.46	0.52	187	1.80	0.88
3. Did the instructor present the material in a clear and logical manner?	3	23.1%	6	46.2%	4	30.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	2.08	0.76	185	1.86	0.95

	1 Excellent		2 Good		3 Adequate		4 Poor		5 Terrible		This Class Summary Stats			Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD
4. Rate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral communication.	5	38.5%	3	23.1%	4	30.8%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	13	2.08	1.04	187	1.88	1.03
5. Rate the effectiveness of the instructor's written communication.	5	38.5%	6	46.2%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	1.77	0.73	186	1.82	0.94

	1 Always		2 Often		3 Sometimes		4 Rarely		5 Never		This Class Summary Stats			Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD
6. Were the assignments a worthwhile aid to learning the course material?	2	15.4%	7	53.8%	4	30.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	2.15	0.69	186	1.84	0.92
7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems?	4	30.8%	7	53.8%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	1.85	0.69	187	1.78	0.89
8. Was the instructor well prepared for class?	6	46.2%	7	53.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	1.54	0.52	185	1.66	0.87
9. Did the instructor convey interest and enthusiasm for the subject?	5	38.5%	5	38.5%	3	23.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	1.85	0.80	186	1.84	0.94
10. Were the exams and the grading fair?	4	30.8%	7	53.8%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	1.85	0.69	184	1.76	0.92

	1 Excellent		2 Good		3 Adequate		4 Poor		5 Terrible		This Class Summary Stats			Classes in This Group 284 LARGE RECITATIONS Summary Stats		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD
11. Overall, how would you rate the instructor?	3	23.1%	7	53.8%	3	23.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	2.00	0.71	185	1.87	0.92

	1 A		2 B		3 C		4 D		5 F		N
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N
12. What grade do you expect to get in this course?	4	30.8%	7	53.8%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	13

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY
Department: Mathematics
Term: Spring 2020
Form: Mathematics Department Student Rating
Instructor: Parthasarathy, Bhargavi
Class: MAT284 Section M407 (Business Calculus)
Responses: 13 Enrollment: 23 Response Rate: 56.5%

Written Comments

- Difficult course for people not too strong in math. Classes aren't the most planned.
- fair grading and support for students
- none
- This TA helped me a lot and explained the material very well. She cleared up any questions I had after lecture in our recitation.