

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY

Department: Mathematics

Term: Fall 2019

Form: Mathematics Department Student Rating

Instructor: Parthasarathy, Bhargavi

Class: MAT183 Section M101 (Elements of Modern Mathematics)

Responses: 12 Enrollment: 25 Response Rate: 48.0%

	1 Excellent N %	2 Good N %	3 Adequate N %	4 Poor N %	5 Terrible N %	This Class Summary Stats N Mean StD	Classes in This Group 183 RECITATIONS Summary Stats N Mean StD
1. Rate the textbook.	3 25.0%	2 16.7%	5 41.7%	1 8.3%	1 8.3%	12 2.58 1.24	68 2.54 1.19

	1 Always N %	2 Often N %	3 Sometimes N %	4 Rarely N %	5 Never N %	This Class Summary Stats N Mean StD	Classes in This Group 183 RECITATIONS Summary Stats N Mean StD
2. Has the instructor been available for help outside the class?	7 58.3%	5 41.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	12 1.42 0.52	70 1.93 1.05
3. Did the instructor present the material in a clear and logical manner?	4 36.4%	2 18.2%	4 36.4%	1 9.1%	0 0.0%	11 2.18 1.08	70 1.97 1.06

	1 Excellent N %	2 Good N %	3 Adequate N %	4 Poor N %	5 Terrible N %	This Class Summary Stats N Mean StD	Classes in This Group 183 RECITATIONS Summary Stats N Mean StD
4. Rate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral communication.	4 33.3%	4 33.3%	1 8.3%	3 25.0%	0 0.0%	12 2.25 1.22	72 2.10 1.20
5. Rate the effectiveness of the instructor's written communication.	4 36.4%	4 36.4%	2 18.2%	1 9.1%	0 0.0%	11 2.00 1.00	70 1.89 1.00

	1 Always N %	2 Often N %	3 Sometimes N %	4 Rarely N %	5 Never N %	This Class Summary Stats N Mean StD	Classes in This Group 183 RECITATIONS Summary Stats N Mean StD
6. Were the assignments a worthwhile aid to learning the course material?	5 41.7%	3 25.0%	3 25.0%	1 8.3%	0 0.0%	12 2.00 1.04	72 1.75 1.10
7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems?	6 50.0%	2 16.7%	2 16.7%	1 8.3%	1 8.3%	12 2.08 1.38	72 1.78 1.12
8. Was the instructor well prepared for class?	8 66.7%	2 16.7%	2 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	12 1.50 0.80	72 1.63 0.94
9. Did the instructor convey interest and enthusiasm for the subject?	6 50.0%	2 16.7%	3 25.0%	1 8.3%	0 0.0%	12 1.92 1.08	72 1.89 1.15
10. Were the exams and the grading fair?	8 66.7%	1 8.3%	2 16.7%	1 8.3%	0 0.0%	12 1.67 1.07	71 1.46 0.89

	1 Excellent N %	2 Good N %	3 Adequate N %	4 Poor N %	5 Terrible N %	This Class Summary Stats N Mean StD	Classes in This Group 183 RECITATIONS Summary Stats N Mean StD
11. Overall, how would you rate the instructor?	4 33.3%	5 41.7%	2 16.7%	1 8.3%	0 0.0%	12 2.00 0.95	72 1.85 1.00

	1 A N %	2 B N %	3 C N %	4 D N %	5 F N %	N
12. What grade do you expect to get in this course?	6 50.0%	3 25.0%	2 16.7%	1 8.3%	0 0.0%	12

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY

Department: Mathematics

Term: Fall 2019

Form: Mathematics Department Student Rating

Instructor: Parthasarathy, Bhargavi

Class: MAT183 Section M101 (Elements of Modern Mathematics)

Responses: 12 Enrollment: 25 Response Rate: 48.0%

Written Comments

- hi
- n/a
- The instructor industriously helped me solve problems that I have. I really appreciate it.
- The instructor was effective in breaking down course material and preparing us for exams.

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY

Department: Mathematics

Term: Fall 2019

Form: Mathematics Department Student Rating

Instructor: Parthasarathy, Bhargavi

Class: MAT183 Section M102 (Elements of Modern Mathematics)

Responses: 5 Enrollment: 18 Response Rate: 27.8%

						This Class			Classes in This Group							
	1 Excellent		2 Good		3 Adequate		4 Poor		5 Terrible		Summary Stats	183 RECITATIONS				
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD
1. Rate the textbook.	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	5	3.60	0.89	68	2.54	1.19

						This Class			Classes in This Group							
	1 Always		2 Often		3 Sometimes		4 Rarely		5 Never		Summary Stats	183 RECITATIONS				
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD
2. Has the instructor been available for help outside the class?	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	2.00	1.00	70	1.93	1.05
3. Did the instructor present the material in a clear and logical manner?	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	5	2.40	1.14	70	1.97	1.06

						This Class			Classes in This Group							
	1 Excellent		2 Good		3 Adequate		4 Poor		5 Terrible		Summary Stats	183 RECITATIONS				
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD
4. Rate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral communication.	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	5	2.80	1.64	72	2.10	1.20
5. Rate the effectiveness of the instructor's written communication.	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	2.00	0.71	70	1.89	1.00

						This Class			Classes in This Group							
	1 Always		2 Often		3 Sometimes		4 Rarely		5 Never		Summary Stats	183 RECITATIONS				
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD
6. Were the assignments a worthwhile aid to learning the course material?	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	5	2.60	1.14	72	1.75	1.10
7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems?	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	5	2.40	1.14	72	1.78	1.12
8. Was the instructor well prepared for class?	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	1.80	0.84	72	1.63	0.94
9. Did the instructor convey interest and enthusiasm for the subject?	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	5	2.00	1.22	72	1.89	1.15
10. Were the exams and the grading fair?	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	1.60	0.55	71	1.46	0.89

						This Class			Classes in This Group							
	1 Excellent		2 Good		3 Adequate		4 Poor		5 Terrible		Summary Stats	183 RECITATIONS				
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD
11. Overall, how would you rate the instructor?	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	5	2.20	1.30	72	1.85	1.00

						This Class			Classes in This Group								
	1 A		2 B		3 C		4 D		5 F		Summary Stats	183 RECITATIONS					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD	
12. What grade do you expect to get in this course?	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5						

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY

Department: Mathematics

Term: Fall 2019

Form: Mathematics Department Student Rating

Instructor: Parthasarathy, Bhargavi

Class: MAT183 Section M103 (Elements of Modern Mathematics)

Responses: 9 Enrollment: 19 Response Rate: 47.4%

	1 Excellent N %					2 Good N %					3 Adequate N %					4 Poor N %					5 Terrible N %					This Class Summary Stats			Classes in This Group 183 RECITATIONS Summary Stats		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD			
1. Rate the textbook.	4	57.1%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	7	2.00	1.53	68	2.54	1.19															

	1 Always N %					2 Often N %					3 Sometimes N %					4 Rarely N %					5 Never N %					This Class Summary Stats			Classes in This Group 183 RECITATIONS Summary Stats		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD					
2. Has the instructor been available for help outside the class?	5	62.5%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	8	1.75	1.39	70	1.93	1.05															
3. Did the instructor present the material in a clear and logical manner?	4	44.4%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	9	2.22	1.48	70	1.97	1.06															

	1 Excellent N %					2 Good N %					3 Adequate N %					4 Poor N %					5 Terrible N %					This Class Summary Stats			Classes in This Group 183 RECITATIONS Summary Stats		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD					
4. Rate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral communication.	3	33.3%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	9	2.67	1.66	72	2.10	1.20															
5. Rate the effectiveness of the instructor's written communication.	3	33.3%	4	44.4%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	9	2.22	1.39	70	1.89	1.00															

	1 Always N %					2 Often N %					3 Sometimes N %					4 Rarely N %					5 Never N %					This Class Summary Stats			Classes in This Group 183 RECITATIONS Summary Stats		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD					
6. Were the assignments a worthwhile aid to learning the course material?	6	66.7%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	9	1.67	1.32	72	1.75	1.10															
7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems?	6	66.7%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	9	1.67	1.32	72	1.78	1.12															
8. Was the instructor well prepared for class?	5	55.6%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	9	1.89	1.36	72	1.63	0.94															
9. Did the instructor convey interest and enthusiasm for the subject?	4	44.4%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	9	2.22	1.48	72	1.89	1.15															
10. Were the exams and the grading fair?	5	55.6%	3	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	9	1.78	1.30	71	1.46	0.89															

	1 Excellent N %					2 Good N %					3 Adequate N %					4 Poor N %					5 Terrible N %					This Class Summary Stats			Classes in This Group 183 RECITATIONS Summary Stats		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD					
11. Overall, how would you rate the instructor?	4	44.4%	2	22.2%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	9	2.11	1.36	72	1.85	1.00															

	1 A N %					2 B N %					3 C N %					4 D N %					5 F N %					N		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	Mean	StD	N	Mean	StD		
12. What grade do you expect to get in this course?	7	77.8%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	9																	

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY

Department: Mathematics

Term: Fall 2019

Form: Mathematics Department Student Rating

Instructor: Parthasarathy, Bhargavi

Class: MAT183 Section M103 (Elements of Modern Mathematics)

Responses: 9 Enrollment: 19 Response Rate: 47.4%

Written Comments

- N/A
- n/a
- very unclear and often made mistakes in explanations.

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY

Department: Mathematics

Term: Fall 2019

Form: Mathematics Department Student Rating

Instructor: Parthasarathy, Bhargavi

Class: MAT183 Section M106 (Elements of Modern Mathematics)

Responses: 12 Enrollment: 25 Response Rate: 48.0%

	1 Excellent N %	2 Good N %	3 Adequate N %	4 Poor N %	5 Terrible N %	This Class Summary Stats N Mean StD	Classes in This Group 183 RECITATIONS Summary Stats N Mean StD
1. Rate the textbook.	2 18.2%	2 18.2%	6 54.6%	0 0.0%	1 9.1%	11 2.64 1.12	68 2.54 1.19

	1 Always N %	2 Often N %	3 Sometimes N %	4 Rarely N %	5 Never N %	This Class Summary Stats N Mean StD	Classes in This Group 183 RECITATIONS Summary Stats N Mean StD
2. Has the instructor been available for help outside the class?	4 33.3%	4 33.3%	2 16.7%	2 16.7%	0 0.0%	12 2.17 1.11	70 1.93 1.05
3. Did the instructor present the material in a clear and logical manner?	4 33.3%	5 41.7%	2 16.7%	0 0.0%	1 8.3%	12 2.08 1.16	70 1.97 1.06

	1 Excellent N %	2 Good N %	3 Adequate N %	4 Poor N %	5 Terrible N %	This Class Summary Stats N Mean StD	Classes in This Group 183 RECITATIONS Summary Stats N Mean StD
4. Rate the effectiveness of the instructor's oral communication.	4 33.3%	4 33.3%	2 16.7%	1 8.3%	1 8.3%	12 2.25 1.29	72 2.10 1.20
5. Rate the effectiveness of the instructor's written communication.	5 41.7%	5 41.7%	1 8.3%	0 0.0%	1 8.3%	12 1.92 1.16	70 1.89 1.00

	1 Always N %	2 Often N %	3 Sometimes N %	4 Rarely N %	5 Never N %	This Class Summary Stats N Mean StD	Classes in This Group 183 RECITATIONS Summary Stats N Mean StD
6. Were the assignments a worthwhile aid to learning the course material?	7 58.3%	3 25.0%	1 8.3%	0 0.0%	1 8.3%	12 1.75 1.22	72 1.75 1.10
7. Did the instructor encourage questions and give adequate follow-up treatment of assigned problems?	7 58.3%	2 16.7%	2 16.7%	0 0.0%	1 8.3%	12 1.83 1.27	72 1.78 1.12
8. Was the instructor well prepared for class?	7 58.3%	3 25.0%	1 8.3%	0 0.0%	1 8.3%	12 1.75 1.22	72 1.63 0.94
9. Did the instructor convey interest and enthusiasm for the subject?	7 58.3%	2 16.7%	1 8.3%	1 8.3%	1 8.3%	12 1.92 1.38	72 1.89 1.15
10. Were the exams and the grading fair?	9 75.0%	2 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 8.3%	12 1.50 1.17	71 1.46 0.89

	1 Excellent N %	2 Good N %	3 Adequate N %	4 Poor N %	5 Terrible N %	This Class Summary Stats N Mean StD	Classes in This Group 183 RECITATIONS Summary Stats N Mean StD
11. Overall, how would you rate the instructor?	6 50.0%	3 25.0%	2 16.7%	0 0.0%	1 8.3%	12 1.92 1.24	72 1.85 1.00

	1 A N %	2 B N %	3 C N %	4 D N %	5 F N %	N
12. What grade do you expect to get in this course?	10 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	10

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY

Department: Mathematics

Term: Fall 2019

Form: Mathematics Department Student Rating

Instructor: Parthasarathy, Bhargavi

Class: MAT183 Section M106 (Elements of Modern Mathematics)

Responses: 12 Enrollment: 25 Response Rate: 48.0%

Written Comments

- Bhargavi did her thing as a recitation leader! She was helpful and kind.
- Bhargavi really tried to make the most out of our recitation time. Unfortunately, the class environment didn't really make me comfortable enough to ask all the questions I might have had to help me understand the material better. Nevertheless, it was helpful to go to class every week to even just get more practice and take the quiz. Thanks for your help this semester!
- I feel that while she related well to the students and came prepared with her own lesson plan, she often did not know the answers to student questions, and other students had to jump in to help.
- Sometimes hard to understand but other than that, very good.